

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency:				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4). (b) rules relating of governmental operations and (g)(i) rules that amend a procedure agency hearings.	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)				
WAC 461-08-330 Board decision making on appeals.				
WAC 461-08-330 is a procedural rule which establishes which appeals the Shorelines Hearings Board will hear as short-board appeals. Short-board appeals are appeals heard by a three board member panel. The three board member panel must have at least one but not more than two members of the pollution control hearings board.				
Hearing location(s):  Environmental Hearings Office 4224 6 <sup>th</sup> Avenue SE Bldg. 2 Lacey, WA 98504-0903	Submit written comments to:  Name: Kay Brown Address:     Environmental Hearings Office     4224 6 <sup>th</sup> Avenue SE Bldg. 2     Lacey, WA 98504-0903  e-mail eho@eho.wa.gov fax 360-438-7699  Please submit comments by July 24, 2009			
Date: <u>July 24, 2009</u> Time: <u>9:30 a.m</u> .	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact			
Date of intended adoption: October 13, 2009 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	Robyn Bryant by phone at 360-459-6327			
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an	y changes in existing rules:			
The purpose of the amendment to WAC 461-08-330 is to incorporate the Legislature's amendment to RCW 90.58.185 into the agency's rules. The amendment, contained in Senate Bill 6165 that was passed in the 2009 regular session, becomes effective July 25, 2009. It allows the chair of the hearings board to designate cases for review by a short-board panel.  Reasons supporting proposal: The Legislature amended RCW 90.58.185 in the 2009 regular session to allow greater flexibility in utilizing short-board panels as a cost-saving measure.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 90.58.175, RCW 90.58.185, Ch. 34.05 RCW				
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY			
Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  Yes No Yes No No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED			
DATE 06-12-09	DATE: June 12, 2009 TIME: 9:00 AM			
NAME (type or print) Kathleen D. Mix	WSR 09-13-052			
SIGNATURE Kother to My				
TITLE Chair, Pollution Control Hearings Board				

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:				
Name of propo	onent: (person or organization)	Environmental Hearings Office	Private Public X Governmental	
Name of agen	cy personnel responsible for:		7. Governmentar	
•	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting	Kay Brown	Environmental Hearings Office	(360) 459-6327	
Implementation	Kathleen D. Mix	Environmental Hearings Office	360 450-6327	
Enforcement	Kathleen D. Mix	Environmental Hearings Office	(360) 459-6327	
Has a small bu	usiness economic impact state	ment been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?		
☐ Yes. Att	tach copy of small business econo	omic impact statement.		
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:  Name:				
Ad	ddress:			
ha	none ( )			
fa	x ( )			
e-	·mail			
X No. Exp	plain why no statement was prepa	red.		
No statement is required for adoption of rules because this rule amendment does not impose costs on				
businesses.				
Is a cost-bene	efit analysis required under RCV	V 34.05.328?		
☐ Yes A	preliminary cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained by contacting:		
N	ame:	may be obtained by contacting.		
Ad	ddress:			
nh	none ( )			
fa	x ( )			
e-	mail			
	se explain: A cost benefit analysis hearing. See RCW 34.05.328(5)(	is not required because WAC 461-08-330 is a rule dealing c)(i).	g with procedures	